

**UMKC School of Medicine  
Faculty Development Committee Meeting  
Meeting Minutes – Approved  
November 16, 2015 – 4:30 p.m.  
SOM Medical Humanities Conference Room, M4-C01**

**Attendance**

**Present:**

Christopher Boyce  
John W. Foxworth  
Deborah Green  
Emily Hillman  
William Truog

**Absent:**

Phil Byrne  
Jennifer McBride  
Timothy Myrick  
Rebecca R. Pauly  
Jennifer Quaintance

Alan Salkind  
David Wooldridge

**I. CALL TO ORDER**

Meeting called to order at 4:35 p.m. by **Dr. John W. Foxworth**, Chairman.

**II. PURPOSE**

The meeting time was spent discussing the **Working Groups Identified Goals** (attached) from the Faculty Retreat which occurred September 9, 2015, at the Kaufmann Center.

Group A: Student Ownership of Learning – There was a general agreement with most of the five conclusions. Especially point 1 (student ownership of learning), where there ensued discussion of attendance problems in many classes. There was also agreement with point 5, gathering information from other medical schools.

Group B: The Premedical Curriculum – The student partnerships were discussed. There was agreement that this was an essential value of the school. A UMKC School of Medicine graduate stated that she was still in contact with her senior partner after many years. There was also agreement that encouraging professionalism was a good value to bolster.

Group C: The Docent System – There was discussion about student achievement of the Entrustable Professional Activities being a core part of the year 6 docent rotation (point 2). Retention of docents was also discussed, as well as docent selection.

Group D: Community Health – There was particular agreement with points 2 (docent retention) and 3 (year 6 docent rotation as a capstone experience), and agreement with the other points as well.

Group E: Graduate Education in the Medical School Setting – There was agreement with point 1 (inclusion), and point 2 (integration). Marketing our programs was supported, as was rewarding faculty members teaching in graduate programs.

Group F: Strategic Priorities as the School Approaches 50 – Building a new facility was thought to be unlikely, but there was support for the planned Education Building. Branding of the school and its affiliates was strongly supported, and building research and developing recognition for it, was believed to be a very good idea.

**III. ADJOURNMENT**

The Faculty Development Committee Meeting was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

Respectively submitted,

**John W. Foxworth**, PharmD, Chair, Faculty Development Committee, and Assoc. Dean, Faculty Development